1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 ANTHONY FREDIANELLI, No. C-11-3232 EMC 9 Plaintiff, PROPOSED LIMITING INSTRUCTION 10 ON THIRD EYE BLIND INTER PARTY v. **AGREEMENTS** 11 STEPHAN JENKINS, et al., (Docket No. 230) 12 Defendants. 13 As stated at the hearing on October 7, 2013, the Court shall allow Plaintiff to introduce the 14 15 Third Eye Blind Inter Party Agreements as evidence but subject to a limiting instruction. Below is the Court's proposed limiting instruction. Any objections shall be addressed prior to the 16 17 introduction of the evidence. 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 ///

## For the Northern District of California

INST	<b>TRI</b> I	CTI	$\mathbf{ON}$	NO	1	8

## **EVIDENCE FOR LIMITED PURPOSE –**

## "THIRD EYE BLIND INTER PARTY AGREEMENTS"

The document "Third Eye Blind Inter Party Agreements" is being admitted for a limited purpose only. You must consider it only for that limited purpose and for no other.

The document "Third Eye Blind Inter Party Agreements" does *not* constitute the parties' contract but may be considered as evidence of what the terms of the parties' oral agreement were. Although the document refers to Band members being shareholders, the Court has already found that there was in fact no agreement to this business structure.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: October 7, 2013

OM. CHEN United States District Judge